Annexe 1

GENERAL FUND - 2012-13 Major Variations to Budget								
			April to Sep	tei	mber 2012			
	July	August	September		Reason (Compared to previous monitoring report where figures have changed ▲ up, ▼ down, — no change)			
	£	£	£					
Additional Expenditure								
Museum of Farnham	10,000	10,000	10,000		Service Level agreement, start up costs and building maintenance (£35k staff savings in salaries monitoring)			
Office Expenses	12,000	12,000	12,000		Postages - £10k increase in mail costs, £2k increased rent replacement franking maching			
Refuse Collection	67,000	67,000	67,000		Collection of food waste weekly - half with refuse			
Waste Recycling	117,000	117,000			Mobilisation (start-up) costs			
Waste Recycling	28,000	28,000	,		Additional contract costs			
Community Development					£10k contibution paid to Godalming Town Council for Town Centre Manager			
Community Development					M3 LEP			
Development Control					Undershaw Hotel claimants costs (Supplementary estimate requested)			
Development Control					Legal fees from Undershaw Hotel Hindhead Judicial Review (Supplementary estimate requested)			
Development Control			15,000	•	Projected overspend on specialist consultants to advise on technical aspects of planning applications - virement requested from overall General Fund underspend			
Waverley Training Services			80,000	A	Additional Staffing to achieve additional income			
Loss in Income								
Animal Control			15,000	A	Net forecast under achievement of income due to wet summer			
Car Parks	20,000	15,000	15,000	_	Shortfall of income			
Planning	0	15,000	15,000		Declining trend in recent months			
Supporting People					Grant reduction - confirmed - partly offset by sheltered housing staff reductions - included in staff budget monitoring			
Building Control	20,000	50,000	26,000	•	Net overspend reported - a decline in income and the budgeted secondment to Mole Valley BC did not go ahead as planned. Some income has been achieved from Decent Homes work for HRA. However, significant savings have been made in the staffing budget which will be reflected in reduced recharges to Building Control at year end.			
Sub-Total	274,000	314,000	495,000					

Annexe 1

GENERAL FUND - 2012-13 Major Variations to Budget April to September 2012									
	August	September		Reason (Compared to previous monitoring report where figures have changed ▲ up, ▼ down, — no change)					
Additional Income									
Interest	(140,000)	(140,000)	(140,000)	_	Additional interest generated on internal £5m GF loan to HRA to reduce external borrowing for self-financing				
Car Parks	(18,000)	(18,000)	(18,000)	_	Payment received for High Street, Haslemere agreement				
Land Charges	(80,000)	(180,000)			Net anticipated additional income				
Waste Recycling	(67,000)	(67,000)	(67,000)	_	Collection of food waste weekly - half with Refuse				
Waste Recycling	(120,000)	(120,000)	(85,000)	▼	Additional Recycling Credit from improved volumes				
Waste Recycling			(5,000)	A	Fees and Charges - Green Waste				
Waverley Training Services			(80,000)	A	Additional income - to be used to meet additional staffing				
Savings									
Inflation Provision					Unallocated balance - all major inflation items identified				
Street Cleaning					Agreed enhancement to contract will not commence until January				
Godalming Leisure Centre	(48,000)	(48,000)	(61,500)	A	Arising from early opening				
Sub-Total	(473,000)	(573,000)	(773,500)						
Net Major Variations	(199,000)	(259,000)	(278,500)						
Net Other Variations	(280)	(280)	7,240						
Overspend / (Underspend)	(£199,280)	(£259,280)	(£271,260)						
Approvals:									
Air Quality Posts		£10,000	£10,000		Executive 2/10/12 - virement to cover additional staffing				
Weyhill Car Park		£21,500	£21,500		Executive 2/10/12 - £1,500 approved, £20k earmarked				
Planning Fee Income		£30,000	£30,000		Executive 2/10/12 - earmarked as provision against future loss				
Balance of Underspend		(£197,780)	(£209,760)						
Supplementary estimates:									
Employment tribunal	£20,000				only 11k needed				
Fraud/Inspection Officer post			£15,000		Executive 2/10/12				

Annexe 2

HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT - 2012-13 Major Variations to Budget April to September 2012							
		April to oci	Jennoer 2017	_	Reason		
	July	August	September				
	£	£	£				
Additional Expenditure							
Council Tax on Empty Properties	38,000	38,000	38,000	_	Charges for 2012-13 in excess of £50k budget. Some refunds will be due for properties disposed before end of year.		
Property Insurance		5,700	5,700	 —	Estimate based on previous actual		
Maintenance Consultancy		1,500	1,500	_	Specialist advice taken re Gas Contract. Cover overspend from General Management consultancy		
1							
Loss in Income	00.000	00.000	00.000		Detential aboutful based as 00 was less de la Thi		
Dwelling rents and service charges	80,000	90,000	90,000	_	Potential shortfall based on 22 weeks debit. This reduction is partly due to the early completion of decommissioning Wey Court.		
Court Costs reimbursed			26,000	•	Court costs are the last call on the repayments, at September £1,800.		
Overspends	118,000	135,200	161,200				
Savings							
Capital Financing Costs	(1,942,000)	(1,942,000)	(1,942,000)	_	Costs in approved budget were much higher than final approved business plan. Funds will be transferred to Affordable housing/stock improvement reserves.		
Sewerage collection			(10,000)	•	Spend to save investment which has resulted in fewer collections required.		
Additional Income							
Interest receivable	(60,000)	(60,000)	(60,000)	_	The HRA will have higher balances in the short/medium term that will generate more investment income		
Water Rates Commission		(7,000)	(7,000)	-	Commission based on value of water rate debit		
Underspends	(2,002,000)	(2,009,000)	(2,019,000)				
Net Major Variations	(1,884,000)		(1,857,800)				
Possible staff budget overspend	, , , , , , , , ,	90,000	47,000	_			
Overspend/ (Underspend)	(£1,884,000)	(£1,783,800)	(£1,810,800)				

PROJECT MONITORING GROUP- GENERAL FUND

	1	2	3	Funding	4	5	6	7	8
PROJECT TITLE	WBC FUNDING	EXTERNAL FUNDING	Approved Changes	Source of Approved	TOTAL PROGRAMME	PAYMENTS to end of	FINANCIAL REMARKS	Projected 2012/13	Slippage into
	2012/13	2012/13		Changes		September 2012		Savings	2013/14
	£	£	£		£	£		£	£
PLANNING SERVICES									
K1457 The Castle Steps (S106)		12,500			12,500		£12,500 funding from PIC monies approved, Farnham castle leading project.		
K1457 Bench at Cranleigh		580			580	580	£580 funding from PIC monies approved.		<u></u>
COMMUNITY SERVICES									ł
K1110 Central Communications - Careline	30,000				30,000	15,156	Project on programme.		ĺ
K1111 Day Centres	10,000				10,000	6,995	Works at Gostrey Centre & Farncombe Centre, progressing per programme.		
Leisure Strategy									
K1310 Farnham Sports Centre			6,500	*	6,500	0	£6,500 held back until small defect items are dealt with. Will be spent this financial year.		
K1311 Godalming Leisure Centre	1,850,000	16,460	900,224	*	2,766,684	1,870,032	Opened 30th July 2012. Works on car park begin 8th October 2012.	400,000	
K1318 - Biomass & PV's			147,370	*	147,370	0	PV's and Biomass boiler have been installed.		
K1320 The Herons Leisure Centre	700,000				700,000	0	Budget for surveys and initial consultation.		
Sports Centres									
K1301 Client Rolling Programme	56,000				56,000	7,760	Project on programme, commitments.		
K1319 Client Rolling Programme -Contingency	25,000		15,610	*	40,610	189	No further demands on budget at the moment.		i
K1302 Client Rolling Programme -The Herons		80,000	60,000	*	140,000	0	Will be spent on necessary health & safety works, rest to be allocated to refurbishment.		
Countryside									
K1373 Lammas Land Fencing	3,000	927			3,927	0	£927 grant to be received. Works completed, waiting for invoice.		ĺ
K1377 Wood Fuel Boiler	12,000				12,000		To seek quotes next month.		
K1378 Reline Frensham Dam Stew Pond Culvert	20,000				20,000	0	Design in place, looking for suitable contractors.		
Arts									1
K1390 Farnham Maltings Brick Restoration	35,000				35,000	12,100	Works to be started in summer, should finish in December.		1
K1330 Memorial Hall high level heater modifications			4,600	#	4,600	1,600	Project approved by the Executive 4/9/12. Project on programme to complete before cold weather.		
Recreation									
K1343 Pavilions-Capital Works	30,000				30,000	24,706	Works at Woolmer Hill and Badshot Lea pavilions progressing to programme.		
K1344 Recreational Facilities for Young People	50,000	60,000			110,000	2,324	Budget for Farnham skate park - at consultation stage, unlikely to spend full budget in year.		
K1345 Playground Replacement	194,000	31,000			225,000	11,471	Works to complete enf of July 2013.		
K1354 Philips Memorial Garden Improvement Programme	14,670	29,430	99,100	*	143,200	5,465	£62.7k to be spent this year, rest to slip. Cloister works complete, waiting for invoice. Works to be carried out over winter.		80,500
K1355 Parks Infrastructure works and DDA improvements	60,000				60,000	21,796			
K1349 Parks Signage	10,000				10,000	905	Obtaining quotes. Broadwater park to look for Green flag accreditation which may require new signage.		
K1340 Recreation Ground Improvements	35,000				35,000	5,071	Have placed some orders.		1

PROJECT TITLE	1 WBC FUNDING 2012/13	2 EXTERNAL FUNDING 2012/13	3 Approved Changes	Funding Source of Approved Changes	4 TOTAL PROGRAMME	5 PAYMENTS to end of September 2012	6 FINANCIAL REMARKS	7 Projected 2012/13 Savings	8 Slippage into 2013/14
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES									
Public Conveniences									
K1220 Rolling Programme	10,000				10,000	2,078	Cranleigh PC has transferred. Rest of budget held for works relating to possible Tilford PC transfer to Tilford Institute.		
Environmental Health									
K1205 Tackling Fuel Poverty in Waverley	20,000				20,000	10,177	Reactive programme. Works at two park homes completed, £5k committed.		
K1201 Contaminated Land	20,000				20,000	3,521	Indicative programme as dependant on Weydon lane results. New contaminated land strategy to go to the Executive in November,		
Refuse Collection									
K1231 Upgrade Recycling Bring-Sites	6,000				6,000	5,073	Completed.		
K1235 Street Litter Bins	12,540				12,540	0	To be installed mid-October.		
K1234 Contract Mobilisation		4,560	110,000	~	114,560	119,725	Received rebate from sale of recycling bins. Rest of project to be funded from revenue budget.		
Car Parks									
K1240 Rolling Programme	35,000				35,000		Works at Wagon Yard & Weydown Road car parks.£7k to be spent on works at Central car park. Budget will be spent in full.		
K1241 Parking Equipment Replacement	24,000				24,000	4,494	Parts for machines. Will need to replace pedestals.		
K1243 Tanners Lane	30,000				30,000	6,062	Works complete.	23,938	
K1244 Weydown Road - CCTV	20,000				20,000	0	Will not spend whole budget, currently negotiating with the Police.	8,000	
K1245 North Street, Farncombe - resurfacing and drainage	20,000				20,000	780	Works complete, waiting for invoices.		
K1246 Village Way Extension	77,000				77,000	0	Project not going ahead.	77,000	
K1247 Meadrow - Resurfacing	30,000				30,000	21,603	Works complete.	7,000	
K1242 Weyhill car park - refurbishment	342,000				342,000	164	Project on hold.		342,000
HOUSING									
House Renovation Grants									
K1101 Disabled Facilities	248,000	252,000			500,000	220,393	£180k committed. Possible £10k DFG repayment.	20,000	
K1101 Private Sector Renewals		3,304			3,304		Grant repayments received, may receive a further £8k grant repayments.		
SPECIAL PROJECTS									
K1511 Riverside	234,000		198,000	~	432,000	0	Works dependant on CPO. £198,000 2013/14 funding brought forward for preconstruction works. To appoint a development agent at end of October.		
CUSTOMER, IT AND OFFICE SERVICES									
Miscellaneous Properties									
K1512 Development Consultancy - Brightwells	132,000				132,000	20,736	Maintenance of Redgrave Theatre, legal fees and project officer.£13k legal fees due.		
CPO - provision	100,000				100,000	0			
Central Offices									
K1001 Improved Working Environment	100,000				100,000	15,323	Have completed new door security system, invoices to come in. Budget includes £10k contingency.		
Disability Discrimination Act Compliance									<u> </u>
K1006 DDA Compliance Works Provision	10,000				10,000	0	At design stage for works at Woolmer hill and Broadwater park.		
ICT infrastructure Rolling Programme			_						
K0001 Forward Programme/Legislative Changes	10,000	2,007			12,007	3,407	Works carried out on ATLAS.		
K0003 Desktop/Server Upgrades	25,000				25,000	15,944	Currently rolling out iGels. Works being carried out on server environment. Invoices due.		
K0233 Microsoft Office Enterprise Agreement	25,000				25,000	0	Quotes currently £11k higher than expected, likely overspend.	(11,000)	
System Migration Upgrade									
K0268 Lotus Upgrade	20,000				20,000	12.545	Invoices coming in.		
K0273 Legal case management & time recording system	.,		15,520	#	15,520	,,,,,,	Project approved by the Executive 4/9/12. To start in October, complete by end of December.		

	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	- 8
	WBC	EXTERNAL	Approved	Funding Source of	TOTAL	PAYMENTS	FINANCIAL REMARKS	Projected	Slippage
PROJECT TITLE	FUNDING	FUNDING	Changes	Approved	PROGRAMME	to end of		2012/13	into
TROUZOT TITEE	2012/13	2012/13		Changes		September 2012		Savings	2013/14
Information Management									
K0254 Network Upgrade & Flexible Working	10,000				10,000	695	Installing new switches, to be implemented alongside new telephone system.		
K0244 Records Scanning	20,000				20,000	1.750	To focus on building control and street naming & numbering. Currently sifting through		
Ů	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				.,	,	papers.		
K0240 SAN replacement	50,000				50,000	0	Will slip into 2013/14, project dependant on success of Surrey Data Centre.		50,000
K0241 Shared Services & Hosting	10,000				10,000	0	To possibly share services with Mole Valley and host payment service, decision to be		
							made by end of December.		
K0242 Civica Document Management	20,000				20,000		Works underway, focusing on environment and housing departments.		
K0243 Civica Access for Planning Documents		6,500			6,500		Fully funded from planning delivery grant. Works complete, waiting for invoices.		
K0238 Replacement Telephone system	250,000				250,000	3,750	Have started work - likely to need maximum £150k. To roll out by Christmas.	100,000	
K0249 Scanning & Workflow - Environmental Health			35,240	*	35,240	12,665	Documents sent for back scanning, invoices due. To go live in October.		
K0264 HR/Payroll system			2,500	~	2,500	735	Consultancy costs for mileage and expenses module implementation, CMT approved		
							virement from vacancy factor 11th July 2012.		
K0265 Environmental Services contact manager					0	5,300	LLPG synchronisation work from 2010/11, no creditor.	(5,300)	
K0214 Enhancement of M3 Licensing system			6,700	#	6,700	0	Project approved by the Executive 4/9/12. To be installed 10th October 2012.		
ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT									
K0271 Backstage	15,000				15,000	0	To relaunch using SharePoint.		-
K0272 Jadu Mobile Web Platform	5,000				5,000	5,388	Improvement of website for mobile users and creation of mobile apps, to complete by		
	·				·		October. Application installed, will go live mid October.		
PARTNERSHIP FUNDING			9.000	*	9.000	0	Hambledon FC - Football Foundation grant application succesful, to start works by		-
			,		,		March 2013.		
PROVISION FOR EMERGENCY SCHEMES	150.000		(26,820)		123.180	0			
	,		(, ,		-,	0			
Total Project Expenditure	£5,215,210	£499,268	£1,583,544		£7,298,022	£2,494,790		£619,638	£472,500
Capital Project Programme	4,682,210	414,708	1,397,934		6,494,852	2,306,884		619,638	472,500
Revenue Project Programme	533,000	84,560	185,610		803,170	187,906		0	0
Total Project Programme	£5,215,210	£499,268	£1,583,544		£7,298,022	£2,494,790		£619,638	£472,500

Key to Funding Source

Slippage from 2011/12

Funded from Provision for Emergency Schemes

Funded from elsewhere

Project Justification Form

Project: Change of provider of Consultation Portal software from Objective (formally Limehouse) to Inovem Inclusionware

Service: Planning

Officer Responsible for Project: Anna Ludford/Jennie Falconer

Identification of Need:

We began to use the Objective (formally Limehouse) Consultation Portal for planning policy consultations in 2008. This followed changes to the planning system and the introduction of the new Local Development Framework system, central to which was the ethos of partnership working and community participation. This includes legislative requirements to both consult and engage with the public, community representatives and other relevant stakeholders, throughout the development of planning policy documents. We have to date, undertaken 8 consultations using this software.

There are a small number of alternative software survey options available, however the current and proposed systems lend themselves to the complexity of consulting in detail on a variety of planning documents and allows for complex reporting and analysis of responses. They both offer a range of consultation options, from forums and surveys to more complex document based consultations allowing respondents to read and comment on the document online. Enabling online responses has positive resource implications and whilst we can not expect 100% online response rate, we use the system to store and analyse all comments received, which assists in providing a reliable audit trail. It is particularly important to ensure that we can demonstrate we have consulted those key organisations identified in the regulations and demonstrate we are meeting our 'duty to cooperate'. We have carried out eight consultations using Objective.

We hold the details of nearly 3000 previous respondents on our database, which is held within the current Objective system. In addition we hold the details of over 360 agents who represent one or multiple respondents.

A number of issues have been identified with Objective, which has prompted us to consider a move to an alternative provider. These include:

- Some comments from users suggest that the portal is not considered to be 'user-friendly', particularly for those less familiar with using the internet. This may have put off people submitting online comments, with many continuing to send in lengthy paper responses, which then have to be manually entered by members of the Planning Policy team.
- High annual support costs of £9,250
- Limited and time consuming options for demonstrating how documents have changed (the current system will not allow printing of 'tracked changes') unless additional fees are paid to Objective in addition to already high support costs, for downloading 'add-ons'.
- Although two members of the policy team are fully technically familiar with the system it has been acknowledged that the current system is not particularly intuitive for those using it at both the setting up end of the system and perhaps more importantly, the users.
- Issues with the quality of technical support provided from Objective, and the length of time taken to respond to some technical issues with the system.

Following a detailed demonstration with a new provider, Inovem Inclusionware, the Planning Policy team have further considered the product, including speaking to

several other authorities who have moved between the two providers, and have found a number of positive aspects of the system, including:

- The system appears to more user-friendly for both officers and end users.
- Improved functionality and greater opportunity to customise the system to fit in with our requirements, including the opportunity to brand the consultation portal with our corporate web branding.
- Lower annual costs
- Improved technical support
- Improved compatibility with Microsoft word
- Compatibility with the latest version of In-Design, which will enable improvements and greater flexibility with the format and final appearance of documents. Inovem has an add-on product which is available at an additional £8,000, however, Waverley would not need this part of the product as policy staff are already trained in using In-Design, for which we currently have one licence. It would be desirable to purchase another for ease of use in the section, which would be considerably cheaper than the add-on or using outside publishers.
- Ease and accuracy of transferring all previous consultations and databases from one system to another.

We propose purchasing the hardware for the Inovem system using Planning Delivery Grant funds if available, with ongoing annual costs from the revenue budget to replace those for Objective. The initial capital cost, including a significant competitor upgrade, would be £9,750 and the revenue cost for the following year would be £3,850, representing a saving to the Council of some £5,400 per annum. Inovem has not increased these costs since it started selling this product and quotes that it has 'no current intentions of doing so for the foreseeable future.' The figure quoted also includes staff training in the new system.

This proposal has already been through the Council's Change Advisory process and approved.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

Using an improved system will assist us to better understand our residents' needs, by improving the accessibility of documents and by ensuring that commenting on planning policy documents is simpler for residents and key stakeholders. This will enable us to meet planning regulations and assist in successfully adopting a new Local Plan, which will improve our ability to meet other objectives such as providing more affordable housing and protecting and enhancing the environment.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Using an improved system will assist us in meeting some of the key objectives in the service plan, primarily by progressing the Core Strategy and the Development Management and Site Allocations Development Plan Documents (Ref – P8). Part of the success criteria of this action is 'effective community engagement'.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

Members of the Planning Policy team and the IT Development Manger attended a demonstration of the product. Further work has taken place to determine potential timescales (to ensure effective transition away from crucial Core Strategy deadlines), consideration of our current contract with Objective and contact with other authorities who have moved between the two providers.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Our annual contract with Objective is renewed in December 2012 and there is a budget allocation for 2012/2013 for this fee. We are required to provide 3 months notice. Based on the experiences of other authorities we would expect that a move between providers take around 3 months, but could be quicker if required. It would be helpful to ensure that any move takes place in good time to prepare for any consultations which are expected to take place spring/summer 2013.

Capital cost (across years):

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £	Year 3 £	Total £
Land	0	0	0	0
Contract Costs	9750*	0	0	9750
Fees	0	0	0	0
Vehicles, Plant and Equipment	0	0	0	0
Contingency	0	0	0	0
Other (specify) -	0	0	0	0
Total Capital Cost	9750	0	0	9750

^{*}Based on a departmental licence and system hosted by Inovem

How capital cost will be funded:

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £	Year 3 £	Total £
WBC Capital	9750	0	0	9750
S106	0	0	0	0
External Funding (specify) -	0	0	0	0
Total Funding	9750	0	0	9750

Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or savings (Invest to Save):

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £	Year 3 £	Total £
Staffing				
Other costs (specify) – Support and Hosting Costs	0	3850 (saving of at least 5400)		7,700 (saving of 10,800)
Total Revenue Costs		3850	3850	7,700
Revenue income	0	0	 0 	0
Estimated annual revenue effect				

Return on Capital and Payback (if appropriate):

	L L			
Forecast Returns	0	Return on Capital	0	%
Capital Cost	9750			
Forecast Savings	5400	Payback	1.8	Years

Identify any efficiency gains resulting from the project	
If it results in an increase in the number of respondents us	•
communication it will reduce the officer time spent typing u	
responses. It may also result in a reduction in the proportion	•
post, reducing both officer time and paper and postage cos	sts.
Identify any risks which may affect the project:	
Officers have spoken to other local authorities which have	transferred from Objective
to Inovem, in order to identify potential risks and are satisfi	ed that the transition from
one system to another can be achieved with no obvious pr	oblems/ risks.
Environmental Impact, including Carbon Implications:	
By encouraging the use of online responses we should ena	able a potential reduction
in the use of paper.	·
Equality impact assessment carried out? No	
How will the project be procured?	
The financial threshold for this product is less than Threshold	old 1: up to £10,000. The
costs of using Inovem can be directly compared with Object	ctive, which is currently
used.	,
Is there scope for sharing/joint work? No	
Completed by:	Date:
Anna Ludford /	3.10.12
Jennie Falconer	

Project Justification Form

Project: Improvements to the website day checker

Service: Environmental Services

Officer Responsible for Project: Paul Reeves

Identification of Need:

Feedback from customers to say that the collection day checker is complicated. Currently it does not calculate the days of collection, it just asks the customer to download a calendar.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives: This project will help to address the 'Understanding Residents' Needs' section of the Corporate Strategy by enhancing customer service and making self service easier. This in turn will divert resources from phone calls where customers might call the office to check their collection day if the online facility is too complicated. The collection day checker is one of the most frequently visited pages on the Waverley website, and therefore it is imperative that information on this page is easy to understand. In light of the progress to develop a mobile 'app' for the most commonly visited web pages, this will allow the bin day checker to be part of this function. The current set up where residents need to download a calendar would not fit into this application, but if this project is carried out it will allow a method of quickly checking collection days from a mobile device.

Cross Reference to Service Plan: This links to section ES2.4 of the Environmental Services plan which states that further improvements will be made to the current customer service arrangements. Improvements to the website and making frequently requested information more easy to understand supports this part of the plan.

Progress to date (*including* **position regarding planning permission):** So far areas for improvements have been identified and quotes have been obtained for the works.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used?

No, the work will be outsourced to IT specialists at Civica.

Key Project target dates and milestones: If approval is granted for this project Civica will be requested to commence the works as soon as possible. Depending on their current workload the project could be commenced within a couple of weeks. The work will total 2 days for Civica.

Capital cost (across years):

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £	Year 3 £	Total £
Land				
Contract Costs – Cost of Licences	£1700			£1700
Fees				
Vehicles, Plant and Equipment				
Contingency				
Other (specify) – Implementation costs (installation, configuration, training)				
Total Capital Cost	£1700			£1700

How capital cost will be	iuiiucu.				
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
		£	£	£	£
WBC Capital		£1700			£1700
S106					
External Funding (specify))				
Total Funding		£1700			£1700
Ongoing Revenue Cost a	and/or savir	ngs (Inves	st to Save):		
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
		£	£	£	£
Staffing					
Other costs (specify) – Ann	ual licence				
and support costs					
Total Revenue Costs					
Less					
Revenue income					
Estimated annual revenue	effect				N/A
Return on Capital and Pa	avback (if a				
•	ayback (II a	ppropriate	e): N/A		
-		ppropriate	e): N/A		
	£			%	
Forecast Returns Capital Cost			e): N/A rn on Capital	%	
Forecast Returns	£		rn on Capital	% Yea	ırs
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings	£ 1700	Retu	rn on Capital ack		ırs
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga	1700	Retui Payb	rn on Capital ack ne project:	Yea	
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings	1700 ains resultire website an	Return Paybag from the densuring	rn on Capital ack ne project: g informatior	Yea	
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p	1700 ains resultire website an oreference to	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office	Yea	
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which	1700 ains resultire website an oreference to may affect to	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic	rn on Capital ack e project: g information ng the office	Yea n is accessib	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu	ains resultire website an preference to may affect to to comp	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic the project lete the wo	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office ct: orks. The ma	Yean is accessib	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a quant deliver the works on so	ains resulting website an oreference to may affect to component to com	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic the project lete the wowever, this	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information g the office et: orks. The many is low risk a	Yean is accessib	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no fereign and cost an	ains resulting website an oreference to may affect to composite to composite to composite to composite to composite to deadling.	Return Paybord From the densuring telephonic the project lete the wowever, this ine in place	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office et: orks. The make is low risk are.	Yean is accessib	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no fermion of the control of the cost	ains resulting e website an oreference to may affect to composite to composite to composite dule. However, the dule deadling called the coluding Called to Called the coluding Called to Called the ca	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic the project lete the wowever, this ine in place the months arbon implication	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office ct: orks. The mate is low risk at the is low risk at the is.	Yean is accessible. ain risk is that and as this is	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no fermancement.	ains resulting e website an oreference to may affect to composite to composite to composite dule. However, the dule deadling called the coluding Called to Called the coluding Called to Called the ca	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic the project lete the wowever, this ine in place the months arbon implication	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office ct: orks. The mate is low risk at the is low risk at the is.	Yean is accessible. ain risk is that and as this is	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no f Environmental Impact, in There are no environment	ains resulting website and preference to may affect to composite to composite to composite deadling call or carbon	Returned Payborned From the project lete the wower, this ine in place impacts a	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office et: orks. The make is low risk are. lications: rising from the	Yean is accessible. ain risk is that and as this is	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no fermion of the control of the cost	ains resulting website and preference to may affect to composite to composite to composite deadling call or carbon	Returned Payborned From the project lete the wower, this ine in place impacts a	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office et: orks. The make is low risk are. lications: rising from the	Yean is accessible. ain risk is that and as this is	le, more
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no fe Environmental Impact, in There are no environment Equality impact assessing	ains resulting website an oreference to may affect to composite to composite dule. How formal deadling Canal or carbon ment carried	Paybong from the densuring telephonial the project lete the wowever, this ine in place impacts a lout? N/A	rn on Capital ack ack ac project: g information ng the office ct: orks. The mate is low risk ace. lications: rising from t	Yea n is accessib ain risk is tha and as this is his project.	le, more at they will s a service
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no f Environmental Impact, in There are no environment Equality impact assessments How will the project be p	ains resulting website an oreference to may affect to compost to compost to dead including Caral or carbon ment carried procured? T	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic the project lete the wowever, this ine in place impacts a lout? N/A	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office orks. The material is low risk at the common to the comm	Yea n is accessib ain risk is tha and as this is his project.	le, more at they will s a service
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a quant deliver the works on so enhancement there is no ferior onmental Impact, in There are no environment. Equality impact assessing the process of the people will 'self serve' in p	ains resulting website an oreference to may affect to compost to compost to dead including Caral or carbon ment carried procured? T	Payb ng from the densuring telephonic the project lete the wowever, this ine in place impacts a lout? N/A	rn on Capital ack ne project: g information ng the office orks. The material is low risk at the common to the comm	Yea n is accessib ain risk is tha and as this is his project.	le, more at they will s a service
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no fe Environmental Impact, in There are no environment Equality impact assessing the world in the project be possible to system, therefore the exist in the project be possible to system.	ains resulting website and preference to may affect to composite to composite dule. How formal deadling Caral or carbon ment carried procured? To ting supplier	Returned Paybord From the project lete the wever, this in place impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a project lete the wever of the project lete the project	rn on Capital ack ae project: g information ng the office ct: orks. The mate is low risk at e. lications: rising from the	Yea n is accessib ain risk is tha and as this is his project.	at they will a service
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no f Environmental Impact, in There are no environment Equality impact assessments How will the project be p	ains resulting website and preference to may affect to composite to composite dule. How formal deadling Caral or carbon ment carried procured? To ting supplier	Returned Paybord From the project lete the wever, this in place impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a project lete the wever of the project lete the project	rn on Capital ack ae project: g information ng the office ct: orks. The mate is low risk at e. lications: rising from the	Yea n is accessib ain risk is tha and as this is his project.	at they will a service
Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Identify any efficiency ga By encouraging use of the people will 'self serve' in p Identify any risks which Civica have indicated a qu not deliver the works on so enhancement there is no feither are no environment. Environmental Impact, in There are no environment. Equality impact assessing the will the project be possible system, therefore the exist of the same contents.	ains resulting website and preference to may affect to composite to composite dule. However, all or carbon ment carried procured? Ting supplier ag/joint work	Returned Paybord From the project lete the wever, this in place impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a proper lete the wever of the project impacts a project lete the wever of the project lete the project	rn on Capital ack ae project: g information ng the office ct: orks. The mate is low risk at e. lications: rising from the	yean is accessible. ain risk is that and as this is this project. ork to an exitation area of the area.	at they will a service

Project Justification Form

Project: Wireless Network in The Burys

Service: IT

Officer Responsible for Project: Linda Frame

Identification of Need: We have many people visiting the building (including Councillors) who require Internet access for demos, their business email and their business applications. Currently to provide this access, we have to set up a dedicated network point for them. We need to be able to accommodate flexible and shared access to Internet within the building.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

Value for Money – more efficient and effective use of Officer, Councillor and visitor time.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission): Initial discussions held with the supplier

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes

If no, how will the project be managed?

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Approval – end October Site Survey – November Set up Access points and Switch (including cabling) - November Install management software – November Test wireless - December

Capital cost (across years):

	Year 1 £	Year 2 £	Year 3 £	Total £
Land				
Contract Costs				
Fees				
Vehicles, Plant and Equipment				
Contingency				
Other (specify) -				
Network infrastructure	20,000	5,000	5,000	30,000
Total Capital Cost	20,000	5,000	5,000	30,000

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total	
WPC Capital	£ 20,000	£ 000	£	£ £	
WBC Capital S106	20,000	5,000	5,000	30,000	
External Funding (specify) -					
External Funding (Specify)					
Total Funding	20,000	5,000	5,000	30,000	
Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or sa		•	-,,,,,		
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total	
Staffing	£	£	£	£	
Other costs (specify) -	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000	
Other costs (specify) -	1,000	1,000	1,000	J,000	
Total Revenue Costs	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000	
Less Revenue income					
Revenue income					
Estimated annual revenue effect					
Forecast Savings dentify any efficiency gains resu Efficient use of the internet for visito	_	e project:	Year e of wireless		
	ct the projec				
PCs and those hot desking. Identify any risks which may effe Environmental Impact, including Equality impact assessment carr	Carbon Impl	t: lications:			
dentify any risks which may effeen the second secon	Carbon Implied out? Yes	t: lications:			
Identify any risks which may effe	Carbon Implied out? Yes	lications:		10/2012	

PROJECT MONITORING GROUP- HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT

																	Z
	1	2	3		4												
	Backlog	DHS (arising)	Approved	Reprogrammed	TOTAL	Anticipated	Actual No	Average	Estimated	July	August	September	October	PAYMENTS	Commitment	Applications received not paid	Variance
																received not paid	
PROJECT TITLE	FUNDING	FUNDING	Changes	to CMT	PROGRAMME	Properties	Properties	Budgeted	No of Monthly					to date			to budget
	2012/13	2012/13	changes	to civi		Troperties	to date	Cost	Properties					to dute			to budget
Initials of responsible officer	£	£	:	£	£		October					1		£			
DHS WORKS	net of overheads	net of overheads															
Kitchens (Mears)														421,382			
	1,003,040	185,680	200,000		1,388,720	344	201	4,000	31	197,365	180,093	45,716	0		1,240,217	104,306	(955,819)
Bathrooms (Mears)	753,960	93,320	50,000	(300,000)	597,280	419	132	1,400	38	0	86,797	4,266	0	83,063	732,022	19,623	(486,294)
Heating (Mears & CHS)	750,000	341,000			1,091,000	321	212	3,400	29	141,785	174,657	147,915	88,358	505,748	854,909	0	(724,241)
Windows (Dorwin)	0	172,500			172,500	69	0	2,500	12	0	0	0	0	0		0	100,625
Doors (Dorwin)	0	140,000			140,000	172	0	800	20	0	0	0	0	0		0	81,667
Rewiring (T Brown)	217,000	160,000		(100,000)	277,000	175	27	1,600	16	0	0	0	0	2,700	241,357	(2,700)	(79,774)
Roofs (Mears)	193,000	558,000			751,000	250	36	3,000	42	989	98,571	171,548	0	270,120	537,616	50,218	(419,870)
Roots (ivieals)	193,000	336,000			751,000	230	30	3,000	42	909	90,371	1/1,346	0	270,120	337,010	30,218	(419,670)
Walls/Chimneys and Other (Mears)	224,000	60,000		(125,000)	159,000	123	0	1,300	21	0	0	0	0	0	150,000	0	(57.250)
Overhead and profit (Mears)	328,000.	52,000		(125,000)	380,000	123	0	1,300	21	22,391	20,409	0 31,776	U	135,825	20,409	0	(57,250) 65,433
Total BacklogDH funding		1,762,500.	250,000	(525,000)	4,956,500					362,530	560,527	401,222	88,358	1,418,837	3,776,530	171,447	(2,475,523)
Total backlog bit fulluling	3,403,000.	1,702,300.	250,000	(323,000)	4,550,500					302,330	300,327	401,222	00,550	1,410,037	3,770,330	171,447	(2,473,323)
OTHER CAPITAL WORKS																	
Fire Safety Upgrades	10,000				10,000	20		500		0	0			0			5,833
Door Upgrades (Dorwin)	242,120				242,120	298	170	812		2,000	40,626	19,735		62,361	370,000		(291,125)
Window Upgrades (Dorwin)			660,246		1,405,246	298	148	4,716		4,927	186,726	77,087		282,108	1,442,219		(904,600)
	745,000		000,240			298	140			4,927	180,720	77,087		202,100	1,442,219		
Sheltered Main Enterance Door Renewal	30,000				30,000	2		15,000		0	0			0			17,500
Soffit / Facia and Gutter Replacement	205,000			(50,000)	155,000	survey				0	0			0			90,417
Asbestos Removal (Aspect)	250,000			(150,000)	100,000	260		385			545	21,009		11,934	31,617		14,782
Water Supply	40,000				40,000	40		1,000		0	0			0			23,333
Water Suppry	40,000				40,000	40		1,000		0				0			23,333
Aids and Adaptations (Mears)	465,000		85,000		550,000	referral	5			11,186	55,053	787		71,508	168,691		80,635
Alus and Adaptations (Wears)	403,000		85,000		330,000	rejerrur				11,180	33,033	787		71,308	100,091		80,033
Warden Call System 1104K611CS	40.000		150,000		160,000					0	0	3,565	83,044	86,609			C 724
Garage Works	10,000 25,000		150,000		25,000	survey 15		1,667		0	0	13,841	83,044	13,841			6,724 742
Sheltered Unit Heating and Hot Water	50,000				50,000	15		25,000		0	0	13,841		13,841			29,167
Thermal Insulation Upgrades	20,000			(20,000)	50,000	survey		23,000		0	0			0			29,107
Wash-hand basins	50,000			(50,000)	0					0	0			0			0
Kitchen/bathroom layout alterations	500,000			(500,000)	0					0	0			0			0
Structural Works/Major Void	 		20,000	(200,000)	820,000	105	12	9,524	8	44,386	25,925	66,325	7,119	158,788	472,121		(152,576)
Energy Efficiency Initiatives (air source heat pump	1,000,000		20,000	(80,000)	20,000	103	12	10,000	0	44,380	25,925	00,323	7,119	156,766	4/2,121		11,667
Dwelling enlargement (Walker)	100,000		180,000	(80,000)	180,000	2	1	10,000		43,384	42,476	25,138	808	146,627			(41,627)
Community Rooms	10,000		180,000		10,000	survey	1			43,364	42,476	25,136	808	140,027			5,833
Unadopted Road and Paths and Culverts	50,000			(50,000)	10,000	survey				0	0			0			5,655
Offidopted Road and Faths and Curverts	50,000			(30,000)	0	Survey				U	0			0			U
Sound Insulation	50,000				50,000	survey				0				6,933			22,234
Life Hagnadia -	_				22.22			45.00			40.00			45.55	40.00	5.000	126
Lift Upgrading	30,000				30,000	2		15,000		0	13,982			19,974	19,974	5,992	(28,440)
Professional Fees	200,000				200,000					0	0			6,424	56,837		53,406
Salary Allocations	400,000		4.005.515	(4.100.005)	400,000					0	0	227 127	00.070	0	400,000		0
Total 'Other' Capital Spend	4,482,120		1,095,246	(1,100,000)	4,477,366					105,883	365,333	227,487	90,970	867,108	2,961,458		(1,056,095)
Total Project Expenditure	£7,951,120	£1,762,500	£1,345,246	(£1,625,000)	£9,433,866					£468,413	£925,860	£628,709	£179,328	£2,285,946	£6,737,989		(£3,531,618)
						L	l .				· · ·				<u> </u>	1	,